

The Telford Langley School ENSURING EXCELLENCE

Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy

Approved: Autumn 2022

Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy

Policy Name:	Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy
Policy Owner:	Mr Paul Hewitt, Deputy Headteacher
Last Reviewed:	Autumn 2022
Policy Approved \ Ratified by:	School Standards Committee
Term Policy Approved:	Autumn 2022
Next Review Due:	Autumn 2023
Document Version:	5.0

Contents

1. What does this policy affect?	3
2. Purpose of the Policy	3
3. What are non-examination assessments?	3
4. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	3
5. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments	
6. Links to other School Policies and References	2

1. What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment) is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).
- 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword). This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

2. Purpose of the Policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA 1]

3. What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA 1]

4. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of Centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures that the centre's Non-Examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal

assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
 with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
 including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
 on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Curriculum and Progress Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body or designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensure the correct task is used to students

Task taking Supervision

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates documents

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- · Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the exams officer
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work
 has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded
 by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements.

Keeping materials secure

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series

- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to the JCQ publication *Instructions* for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Curriculum and Progress Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who
 has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their
 family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close
 friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject head/lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal* appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
 - o Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
 - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centreassessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
 - o submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
 - where relevant, liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
 a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject
 teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication
 of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken
 and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Curriculum and Progress Officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Curriculum and Progress Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo/Assistant SENDCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements</u> and <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and

- awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Curriculum and Progress Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
 authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal
 procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The
 only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials
 has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u> -Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Curriculum and Progress Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Subject head/lead

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and
 internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the
 JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u> (<u>Information and guidance to centres...</u>)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Curriculum and Progress Officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

5. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
	the current JCQ publication Instructions for	
	conducting non-examination assessments	
	the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work -	
	<u>www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments</u>	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
	 submit work which is not their own 	
	 make available their work to other candidates through any medium 	
	 allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material 	
	 assist other candidates to produce work 	
	 use books, the internet or other sources 	
	without acknowledgement or attribution	
	 submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement 	
	include inappropriate, offensive or obscene	
	material	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made	
	aware of the JCQ documents Information for	
	candidates - non-examination assessments and	
	Information for candidates – Social Media -	
	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-	
	<u>candidates-documents</u> and understand they must not	
Task setting	post their work on social media Task setting	Task setting
Awarding body set task:	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set	rask setting
T failure/corruption of	task noted prior to start of course	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
ask details where set	IT systems checked prior to key date	, , , , ,
ask details accessed	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
from the awarding body	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of	
online	task details	
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
teacher fails to meet the	training information, practice materials etc.	
assessment criteria as	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand	
detailed in the	the task setting arrangements as defined in the	
specification	awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
understand the marking	criteria described in the specification that is not specific	I TIE/ SCH/JEV/INIIN
criteria and what they	to the work of an individual candidate or group of	
need to do to gain credit	candidates is produced for candidates	
-	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking	
	necords conjunt an canadates anderstand the marking	

	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence during the	See centre's exam contingency plan – (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
task setting stage		
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
specification given to	between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications	
candidates undertaking	and requirements/tasks for new specifications	
new specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
	remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
not issued to candidates	detailed in the specification noted prior to start of	
on time	course	
	Course information issued to candidates contains	
	details when set task will be issued and needs to be	
	completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given	Ensures course planning and information taken from	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
to candidates	the awarding body's specification confirms the correct	
	task will be issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
Cultinat to a decorate on	remains unresolved	DUE (CCA /IEV/DDD
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan - Teaching staff	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
term absence during the	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
issuing of tasks stage Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	JEV/RPR
clash with other centre	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide	JLV/NFN
or candidate activities	calendar	
or carialaate activities	caremaar	
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms	PHF/IF\//RPR
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for	and IT facilities for the start of the course	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-	
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	PHE/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2),	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2),	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a	and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non- examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR

0 1:1 : 1 :		DUE /00+ /15+ /
Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
appropriate advice and	teachers to record all information provided to	
feedback not given by	candidates before work begins as part of the centre's	
subject teacher prior to	quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	prior to starting on their work	
Candidate claims no	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
advice and feedback	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to	
given by subject teacher	candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the	
during the task-taking	centre's quality assurance procedures	
stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback	
	given to candidates during the task-taking stage as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
assistance was given to	teacher are interviewed and statements recorded	
candidates by the	where relevant	
subject teacher over and	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	
above that allowed in	assistance given	
the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
specification	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	PHE/JEV/RPR
reference information	information before work is submitted for formal	
from published source	assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate does not set	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and	PHE/JEV/RPR
out references as		
required	re-draft the set out of references before work is	, ,
- 1	submitted for formal assessment	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	PHE/JEV/RPR
Candidate joins the course late after	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	PHE/JEV/RPR
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started Candidate moves to	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started Candidate moves to another centre during	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move	PHE/JEV/RPR
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started Candidate moves to another centre during the course	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	PHE/JEV/RPR PHE/JEV/RPR
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started Candidate moves to another centre during	submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move	PHE/JEV/RPR

non-examination	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and	
assessment(s)	marking are made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment Word and time limits	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	PHE/JEV/RPR
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	PHE/JEV/RPR
Collaboration and group v	work	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
Authentication procedure	es ·	
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	PHE/JEV/SCA/RPR
Candidate dags == t ====	awarding body	DHE /IEV/DDD
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined	PHE/JEV/RPR

	in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
Presentation of work		L
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	PHE/JEV/RPR
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	PHE/JEV/RPR
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	PHE/JEV/RPR
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:	
Task marking – externally		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	PHE/JEV/RPR
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	PHE/JEV/RPR
Task marking – internally		
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work	PHE/JEV/RPR

	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for	zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5),	PHE/JEV/RPR
unforeseen reason The work of a candidate	to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	PHE/JEV/RPR
is lost or damaged	guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	FIIL/JLV/NFN
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	PHE/JEV/RPR
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an	PHE/JEV/RPR

	appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking	
	prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by	PHE/JEV/RPR
work for formal	candidates at the start of the course	
assessment not met by	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and	
candidate	understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body	
	guidance sought to determine if the work can be	
	accepted late for marking providing the awarding	
	body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the	
	work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of	
	zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of	PHE/SCA/JEV/RPR
marks and samples of	each academic year	
candidates work ignored	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject	
by subject teacher	heads as deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by	
	subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are	
	followed	
Subject teacher long	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff	PHE/JEV/SCA
term absence during the	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
marking period		

6. Links to other School Policies and References

This policy links to the following policies and procedures:

- > The Telford Langley School Exam Contingency Plan Policy
- Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications

JCQ publications

- Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments
- > Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- > Information for candidates Social Media
- Instructions for conducting examinations
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- ➤ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work
- Post-Results Services
- Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres)
- > Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work
- ➤ A guide to the special consideration process