Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

1. Summary information						
School	Telford Langley School					
Academic Year	2020-21	Total PP budget	£375, 319	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept 20	
Total number of pupils	881	Number of pupils eligible for PP	468	Date for next review of thisstrategy	July 21	

		Pupils eligible for PP – your school (other pupils in brackets)	Pupils eligible for PP National averages 2019 (other pupils in brackets)	
% Ac	hieving 4+ Basics EM	30.2% (69.7%)	46.8%(72.5%)	
Prog	ress 8 score average	-0.373 (0.131)	-0.44 (+0.13)	
Attai	nment 8 score average	36.14 (48.07)	-0.44 (+0.13)	
3. E	carriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)			
In-sc	hool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor	literacy skills)		
A.	The quality of remote teaching and blended learning			
B.	Low literacy and numeracy skills			
C.	Behaviour and attitudes to learning issues with some studen	ts in all years		
D.	The number of mobile PP students entering KS4 predicted to pupils figure.	o attain a grade 4+ in English and Mathematics re	spectivelylower than the non PP	
E.	Improved attainment (Closing the gap) and improved rates of progress for PP students with particular attention to the higherand middle ability bands leading to greater aspiration and engagement throughout.			

F	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are 91.9% (below the target of 95% for all students) This reduces their schoolhours causing them to fall behind on average.				
G	Increased social, emotional, mental health issues are affecting the progress of a student's (mainly PP) across targeted studentswithin each year group.				
Н	Parental and family engagement with school is still low with some families.				
I	Low aspirations and history of underachievement continues with some families.				
4. De	esired outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria			
A.	Improve the quality of remote teaching and blended learning for all students.	Improve the quality of remote teaching practice to 100% consistently good or better. Implementation of Rosenshine's Principles of instruction to reduce cognitiveoverload. Increase of meaningful engagement for all students on online learning platforms.			
B.	High levels of progress in literacy and numeracy for targetedstudents, across all year groups, eligible for PP.	The targeted PP pupils make more progress than "Non PP" pupils so that at least 50% exceed Literacy & Numeracy targets and 100% meet expected targets. 65% of all students have a reading age at or abovetheir chronological age. This will be evidenced through Accelerated reader, Access test and Corrective Reader outcomes.			
C.	Behaviour and attitudes to learning issues in targeted PP students, inall years, to be improved.	Improved engagement in lessons. Reduced C6 and C5entries for each student. (without changing expectations) Increased rewards, engagement in assertive mentoring programme and other targeted support.			

		100% consistency with the consequence system, 100%4 to start and 4 to finish, 100% punctuality, 100% using house points to reward pupils within the lesson.
D.	The number of mobile PP students entering KS4 predicted to attain agood grade in English and Mathematics is respectively lower than thenon PP pupils. This figure reflects slower progress rates among PP students when compared to their peers in these key subjects. This is of serious concern in terms of the potential destinations and life chances of PP students.	Tracked rates of progress of PP students is better than that of other students in their cohort. Where it is not, department- level interventions take place (monitored byHeads of Departments and DOL) Any in-school gap between PP and other students in the 'Basics' GCSE measure is lower 5% and narrower than the last validated national average.
E.	Improved attainment (Closing the gap) rates of progress for PP students particularly those in the higher and middle ability, leading to greater aspiration and engagement throughout. There are a key group of students who have some significantly lowprogress 8 scores. *or in the case of Yr 7 and 8 standardised scores pupils identified by the school equivalentbands	Pupils eligible for PP identified as higher and middle achieving (KS2 data*) make as much if not more progress as "other" students across KS3, so that 90% are on track to make expected levels of progress at theend of each KS. Where they are not, departments are putting in place intervention, monitored by heads of department (HOD) and PP Lead Positive P8 scores; KS3 end of year tracking data, reduction in the gap between PP and non PP attainmentin school and national gap to be closed.
F.	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are 91.9 % (below the targetof 95% for all students) This reduces their school hours causing them to fall behind on average.	Reduce the number of persistent absence (PA) among pupils eligible for PP to 10% or below. All PP students tohave 95% attendance or above. Where they are not, pastoral departments are putting in place intervention, monitored by Heads of House.

G.	Increased social, emotional, mental health issues are affecting theprogress of a student's (mainly PP) across targeted students within each year group.	Recorded cases are signposted correctly ensuring all follow up referral are carried out efficiently. Those in receipt of support are satisfied with level of support andaccuracy of signposting. Youth survey to show a reduction in key findings data and Telford Langley School to be in line or lower than other participating schools. Robust and accurately logged communication withexternal agencies. School counsellor used appropriately with referrals beenmade through the proper channels.
H.	Improved parental and family engagement with the school whicheffectively supports the needs of all PP pupils.	100% of targeted PP parent's to attend their all allocated Parent's events in particular parents eveningappointments and family/parental learning events.
I.	All students will be aspirational for their future. They will have a clear pathway and destinations post 16. Pupil premium students willbe proportionally represented in future educational data provided byLA.	Increased positive destination data for PP in line withother students in the school and students nationally. Effective communication with Future Focus to ensurePP pupils are targeted. Number of students entering sixth form and appropriate level study is proportionally represented.

5. Planned expenditure

Academic Year 2020 – 2021

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, providetargeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure itis implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Outcome A - Improved quality of remote teaching and blended learning.	CPD is appropriately targetedto support the development QFT in remote teaching and blended learning. Meet the needs of theindividual teachers. CPD to include: Developing use of TEAMS, delivery of live lessons, use of assignments, chat amd insights funstion. Rosenshine's Principles of instruction. Cognitive overload. Differentiation. Weekly drop in to support staff in their understandingand use of TEAMS.	Staff need to be the experts in delivering high quality learning experiences for all pupils. Recall and retrieval opportunities must be embedded in lessons. Pupils to have the opportunity to master the most invaluable knowledge and skills identified in the curriculum. Staff feedback has identified the engagement in targeted, and whole school CPD in supporting improvements in their teaching.	Drop ins to live lessons. LM meetings Registers of lessons Completion of assignments. CPD programme to be tailored to meet individual needs. CPD calendar reviewed termly.	PH/EBL	Ongoing through the CPD programme to be tailored towards needs identified through QA.

Outcome A, C & D – Raising PP Outcomes. Securing the effective implementation of the Pupil Premium "In Lesson Focus" alongside the whole school marking and feedback policy.	Whole school Pupil Premium "In Lesson Focus", feedback policy implemented, monitored and reviewed.	EFF toolkit identifies feedback as being the intervention which provides the most improvements for disadvantaged students.	Learning Walk Work scrutiny, Performance Management. Peer to peer reviews. Department meetings DOL directorate reviews	EBL/Dol/Line managers	Regular Deep Dives During half termly EAB data analysis
Promote student talk to promote engagement and deeper thinking	Teachers to use Rosenshine's Principles of instruction building upon the existing DRICE model to ensure that all lessons are consistently good or better Development of Think, pair, share	This provides pupils with the opportunity to learn from their peers and develop their ability to articulate their own points in a safe environment.	Deep Dives Sharing of best practise Quality assurance	EBL	Planned programme of Deep dives will monitor the implementation and student voice responses will be used to analyse the impact.

Outcome D – High profile CPD	appropriately targeted to support Quality First Teaching (QFT) and Individual teacher needs. Upskill staff in how to teach and support pupils from the 'front of the room'. Introduce a whole class feedback model. This is integral to identifying misconceptions and diagnosis of lost learning. Revisit Rosenshines principles of instruction (explicit teaching)	Staff need to be the experts in direct instruction and confident in delivering high quality learning experiences for all pupils. Staff must be skilled/experts in how to offer highly effective feedback to pupils during lessons that addresses misconceptions and identify next steps in learning. Recall and retrieval opportunities must be embedded in lessons. Pupils to have the opportunity to master and become fluent in the most invaluable knowledge and skills identified in subject curriculums.	CPD calendar reviewed for the beginning of each term. CPD programme to be tailored towards the needs identified in PM programme, deep dives, learning walks, drop ins, remote learning drop ins, regular middle leader meetings.		On going through the CPD programme which will be taylored towards needs identified throuh QA.
------------------------------	--	---	--	--	---

Improved engagement in lessons. Reduced C6 and C5 entries for each student. Reducing FTE's by 50%. Behaviour for learning is good or better	application of consequence system. Assertive mentoring Bespoke TT's Bespoke support in and out of the classroom Nurture based activities PSP's Engagement of appropriate outside agencies to be part of the schools graduated response to include Anger Management courses, ELSA, Build to Express etc. Attachment training for key staff. PDC (Pupil Disciplinary committee) to be held each term for individual students with 15 days FTE.	EFF toolkit suggest targeted intervention matched to student need improves outcomes Metacognition and self- regulation demonstrates + 8months through EEF Toolkit.	Systematically monitor the implementation of the consequence system. (KP) Implementation of rigorous system for tracking PP pupils behaviour patterns and targeting of appropriate intervention. Assertive mentoring of identified students who identified at being at risk Bespoke individual programmes for identified students	KP HOH DOI	Deep Dives, part of whole school CPD and monitoring and evaluation. Regular LGB reports In line with collection of subject data. On going
	budgeted cost	£150,000			

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure itis implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Outcome C – Improve PP outcomes Students in KS4 who are eligible for PP make faster progress in English and Mathematics than their peers.		Appropriate curriculum offer to meet students' needs and interests to improve engagement and outcomes. Extra support provided to improve attainment. Targeted Teaching groups with highly qualified staff are known to be effective. In school data demonstrates that thishas a positive impact upon engagement in school.	Regular Data analysis – this willguide intervention planning. Departments review & Deep DivesPM meetings DH for progress report to SLT PP focus on department meetingagenda.	PH/Dol/ HOD's	Each data drop in line with standards committee report During LM's meetings.

Outcome D – Improve PP outcomes. Improved attainment (Closing the gap) improved rates of progress for PP students in the higher and middle ability bands, leading to greater aspiration and engagement throughout.	Appropriate curriculum Increased number of teaching groups across all year groups Curriculum and staffing mapped to meet the needs of the students. Interventions planned to meet the targeted needs of the DS DOL to schedule targeted DS Holiday provision based on DTT. Analysis of Data to inform intervention planning which is bespoke and part of the individuals plan.	Curriculum map adjusted to meet Government guidance and student needs. Providing small group work with an experienced teacher focused on overcoming gaps in learning.	Scrutiny of data through moderation and assessment grades. Deep dives/Lesson observations QA process SLT meetings Line manager meetings Intervention attendance registers DOL annual plans	PH/DOL	Half termly Each data drop
--	--	--	--	--------	-----------------------------

Outcome E - Improved attendance %'s	FT EWO employed follow T&W protocol rigorously. Pastoral team and EWO fortnightly meetings to identify need. Daily contact information produced to inform absences by attendance officer. First day response. Employment of Attendance Clerk Attendance Action Plans (AAP) 1:1 Assertive mentoring Attendance focus Attendance booklets Two weekly attendance review Rewards allocated for 100% attendance and punctuality	Improved attainment through increased attendance. National Foundation for education Research briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step. Attendance improvement plan developed and implemented. Securing the delivery of QFT to encourage attendance on positive experiences identified by students. Ofsted 2019 recognise improvements in attendance within certain year groups.	Same day contact about progress for target students and reduced timetable integration programme to ensure students attend on a regular basis, building to full timetable. Attendance and progress discussed at least weekly with mentor Letters about attendance to parents / guardians. EWO to visit all PA at home to discuss attendance with parents / guardian or barriers	НОН	2 weekly with EWO for all Weekly for assertive mentoring cohort Daily by attendance officer to target EWO's daily plan of visits and meetings etc.
-------------------------------------	--	---	--	-----	--

iii. Other approaches	5				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure itis implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Students with Social, emotional, mental health (SEMH) issues receive appropriate support and advice	Advertisement for Mental Health lead. Appointment of SEND Student Support Officer. Application of appropriate graduated response including the use of nurtureprovision. Use of school counsellor. New ELSA training to be undertaken by TA so that 6 weekly programmes canbe run. Referral to CAMHS and signposting to other relevant support services. Purchase of Ed Psych assessments and interventions. Referrals to EHWB panel. Future in Mind agreed school programme and interventions. SFAP Use of House 1 and AFC Telford. Application of EHCNA	Evidence suggests that the number of students with SEMH has increased rapidly and that targeted early intervention matched to specific students with specific SEMH issues can be effective. Increase in the number of student's referred to use internal and external services to support Mental health. School closures due to COVID-19 will mean additional support will be needed.	SSO's, DSL,s DH (relationships) and HOH to engage with parents and students as required. Appropriate services identified and actively engaged. Working with Director of Inclusion to identify graduated response for those who require referral to other services	KP/Dol	Half termly (graduated response as and when = Access plan do review) Annual through CAMHS report.

Appropriate		
Theatre groups		
Monthly and bespoke		
IASSsupport		
Effective use of		
BoxallProfile.		
CPD for staff.		

Improved parental and family engagement with the schools which effectively supports the needs of the	New online parental booking system embedded. Parents evenings completed virtually	Parental engagement has a large and positive impact on children's learning (DFE 2010 and Joseph Rowntree Foundation 2013)	Analyse data after each parents evening to identify areas of need	РН НОН	After each Parents evening review of attendance Termly
DS's.	due to COVID-19 and will be embedded to support engagement. Parental/family learning sessions to be organised and run by departments Highly effective safeguarding procedures within school identifies those pupils that are at risk. Liaison with Family Connect is regular.	EEF Toolkit values parental involvement as a moderate impact (+3 months)	Parental Questionnaire	DOL	

6. Review of expenditure 2019-20

2019-20 Review of Pupil Premium Expenditure: Telford Langley School – Income £354, 059

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue withthis approach)
Improved quality of Teaching & Learning for all students OFSTED February 2019 Judgement Good 98% staff successful in Performance Management.	Increased staffing to secure more teaching groups in all years Appoint Lead teacher in all 5 directorates. Supported by systematic monitoring of the quality of teaching, learning and assessment over time. Rigorous PM programme Targeted academic coach support. Triangulation - use of appropriate data and use of PLC's.	PM windows undertaken for all school staff. PM now indicates improvements. Improvements evidenced throughout the year. External validation through OFSTED February 2019 Good judgement and Peer review (Internal) - learning walks, work scrutiny and structured performance management process.	This approach will continue to be used toimprove the quality of teaching and learning.
	CPD provision is appropriately targeted to support Quality First Teaching (QFT) and Individual teacher needs. Staff review of CPD	CPD has been based on outcomes of Deep dives, QA, teacher feedback, departmental reviews, Performance Management and feedback from HOD/DOL/DH (Staff)	This approach will remain. Teacher feedback is dominant in booksand a focus on self and peer reviews toimprove outcomes.

Securing the effective implementation of whole school marking and feedback policy.	Whole school marking and feedback policy implemented, monitored and reviewed.	This is monitored on a regular basis both whole school and individuals based on feedback during systematic monitoring. Feedback is given to individuals as well as whole school. This also included the Pupil Premium Marking and Feedback policy which was monitored as part of the whole school programme.	These approaches will remain. Deep dives identified that there was no significant difference between PP and NON PP books but regular staff intervention around this is required.	
Improved engagement in lessons. Reduced C6 and C5 entries for each student. Reducing FTE's by 50%.	Consistent and continuous application of consequence system. Assertive mentoring Bespoke TT's Bespoke support in and out of the classroom Nurture based activities PSP's All exclusions result in a reintegration meeting followed by a bespoke one to one reintegration session for students to work with a member of pastoral staff to focus on modifying behaviour and addressing their key trigger issues if appropriate. 15day PDC's	Evidence as demonstrated by both internal and external sources state that behaviour in school has improved. Consistency is well monitored and regular whole school, department and individual support has been given. 100% of exclusions in the Hub have been followed up with a return to school meeting which has set targets, expectations and identified new areas of provision required.	Targeted support This will remain a focus for targeted/identified students. 15 day PDC's to be introduced. Targeted provision and engagement programme. Allocation of an Alternative Provisiontead	cher Lead.
			Total Spent cost	175,000

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned
		success criteria? Include impacton pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	(and whether you will continue with this approach)
Students in KS4 who are eligible for PP make faster progress in English and mathematics than their peers	Appropriate curriculum mapping and staffing. Increased number of Maths and English lessons in all year groups. Introduce three different options pathways. More able programme/focus in PM. DTT approach. Targeted enhanced provision – eg educational visits, trips etc Bespoke TT for identified students who cannot fully engage in mainstream school Targeted Interventions planned to meet the targeted needs of the DS Develop DS provision map	Appropriate programmes established and new curriculum map applied. Outcomes for English and maths: Based on predications PP students achieved greater outcomes in English than non PP	The enhanced curriculum provides further pathways to success for learner; some of which have been bespoke. We will continue with this approach next year to secure further progress and outcomes. The school will continue to monitor and model its curriculum based need.
Improved attainment (Closing the gap) improved rates of progress for PP students in the 2 nd /3rd ability quartile, leading to greater aspiration	Deliver an appropriate curriculum Increased number of teaching groups across all year groups PIXL DTT approach across whole school. Curriculum and staffing mapped to meet the needs of the students. Interventions planned to meet the targeted needs of the DS	All appropriate interventions, programmes, targeted provision was undertaken across all year groups. KS 3 and lower KS4 data confirms improved attainment for PP students.	Greater focus required on targeting outcomes for studentswithin the target group.

and engagement throughout.	DOL to schedule targeted holiday provision based on DTT. Analysis of Data to inform intervention planning. Dol secures impact of Intervention strategies & programmes for DS Develop DS provision map.		
Improved attendance	EWO employed follow T&W protocol rigorously. First day response. Employment of Attendance Clerk Attendance Action Plans (AAP) 1:1 Assertive mentoring Attendance focus Attendance booklets Two weekly attendance review ViVos allocated for 100% attendance and punctuality.	All Agreed Actions have been put in to place. Attendance measures demonstrate improvements within the annual cycle. Attendance in final exams improved this year Attendance improvement plan developed and implemented. Securing the delivery of QFT to encourage attendance on positive experiences identified by students.	Focus to remain. Regular PSP's and attendancereviews to remain.

Total Spend £175,000	M's Improved literacy & numeracy Appoint a Literacy co-ordinator. Bespoke TT for KS3 low ability sets have additional Eng & Maths Deliver small group Corrective reader provision. Read 45. Accelerated Reader Programme. Develop and embed a numeracy prog. KS4 identified students have a 3 rd pathway with extra English and maths. Smaller group sizes TA allocation to support need at KS4. Increased literacy support within feedback and marking process. Outcomes remain high for all students who have literacy and numeracy as a focus across Ks3 and 4. Criteria met and exceed in some areas. Improving outcomes for PP students given their starting points. Careful scrutiny of data identifies this is an effective strategy based on in school and national evidence collected. We will continue with thisstrategy with some changes to delivery, training and management of the programmes All of the identified programmewill remain with the: Introduction of Clicker 7 Numeracy profile needs to increase omeet that of the literacy.
----------------------	---

iii. Other approaches			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP,if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continuewith this approach
Students with Social, emotional, mental health (SEMH) issues receive appropriate support and advice	Application of appropriate graduated response Employ appropriate counselling services Referral to CAMHS Purchase SLA for Ed Psych assessments SFAP Use of House 1 Application of EHCNA Appropriate Theatre groups IASS student voice support Build to Express Lego programme training and resources	Level of need both nationally, locally and within school remains high. A graduated response remains in place with internal and external support provided. Appropriate external support is sourced, provided and monitored.	Support will remain target group willremain a focus and use of further external providers to be used. Providing support for staff who are supporting the SEMH to ensure theyhave the correct "tools" to support.
Improved parental and family engagement with the schools which effectively supports the needs of the DS's. 100% of targeted DS parent's to attend their allocated Parent's evening appointments and events.	Appoint new MIS system manager New online parental booking system embedded Parental/family learning sessions to be organised and run by core subject departments	In more personalised intervention engagement has seen a significant increase over the year	

aising and
understanding
aspirations and
improving life chances.

Increased positive destination data for DS in line with other students in the school and students nationally.

TLR - PSHE

Targeted yr 11 destination assemblies. Implement moving on up programme. Support for targeted students to attend taster sessions at appropriate providers Targeted high quality careers advice. TLR 3 Transition lead appointed for transition for yr6's and family Future Focus attendance at all PEPs. Assertive mentoring Yr 9,10 & 11 carers festival Attendance at University days. Establish a data tracking system for DS at key transition points.

PSHE lead appointed and programme running with a focus upon student outcomes.

Group, individual support and advise has been given to 100% of all targeted students. This has included off site visits supported by careers, pastoral and SEN support staff.

Parents have attended additional academic mentoring meetings.
Attendance at parents evenings have improved since the new booking system was introduced.

Attitudinal survey sourced.

Moving on up programme to be delivered on a need by need basis andbe an umbrella term for the support offered.

National/local data for destinations are published at the end of the autumn Term

New booking system does not allow staff to target students/parents electronically.

Staff training needing to beundertaken to complete appropriately.

Destination data review to be taken atother points throughout the year.

Total Spend

£50,000